

Software Engineering: A Practitioner's Approach, 6/e

Chapter 14

Software Testing Techniques

copyright © 1996, 2001, 2005
R.S. Pressman & Associates, Inc.

For University Use Only

May be reproduced ONLY for student use at the university level
when used in conjunction with *Software Engineering: A Practitioner's Approach*.
Any other reproduction or use is expressly prohibited.

Testability

- Operability—it operates cleanly
- Observability—the results of each test case are readily observed
- Controllability—the degree to which testing can be automated and optimized
- Decomposability—testing can be targeted
- Simplicity—reduce complex architecture and logic to simplify tests
- Stability—few changes are requested during testing
- Understandability—of the design

What is a “Good” Test?

- A good test has a high probability of finding an error
- A good test is not redundant.
- A good test should be “best of breed”
- A good test should be neither too simple nor too complex

Test Case Design

"Bugs lurk in corners
and congregate at
boundaries ..."

Boris Beizer




OBJECTIVE to uncover errors


CRITERIA in a complete manner

CONSTRAINT with a minimum of effort and time


Selective Testing



Software Testing



White-Box Testing




... our goal is to ensure that all statements and conditions have been executed at least once ...

Why Cover?

- **logic errors and incorrect assumptions are inversely proportional to a path's execution probability**
- **we often believe that a path is not likely to be executed; in fact, reality is often counter intuitive**
- **typographical errors are random; it's likely that untested paths will contain some**

Basis Path Testing



First, we compute the cyclomatic complexity:

number of simple decisions + 1


or

number of enclosed areas + 1


In this case, $V(G) = 4$

Cyclomatic Complexity

A number of industry studies have indicated that the higher $V(G)$, the higher the probability of errors.



Basis Path Testing



Next, we derive the independent paths:

Since $V(G) = 4$, there are four paths

Path 1: 1,2,3,6,7,8


Path 2: 1,2,3,5,7,8

Path 3: 1,2,4,7,8

Path 4: 1,2,4,7,2,4,...7,8

Finally, we derive test cases to exercise these paths.

Basis Path Testing Notes



- you don't need a flow chart, but the picture will help when you trace program paths
- count each simple logical test, compound tests count as 2 or more
- basis path testing should be applied to critical modules


Graph Matrices

- A graph matrix is a square matrix whose size (i.e., number of rows and columns) is equal to the number of nodes on a flow graph
- Each row and column corresponds to an identified node, and matrix entries correspond to connections (an edge) between nodes.
- By adding a *link weight* to each matrix entry, the graph matrix can become a powerful tool for evaluating program control structure during testing

Control Structure Testing

- **Condition testing** — a test case design method that exercises the logical conditions contained in a program module
- **Data flow testing** — selects test paths of a program according to the locations of definitions and uses of variables in the program

Loop Testing



Loop Testing: Simple Loops

Minimum conditions—Simple Loops

1. skip the loop entirely
2. only one pass through the loop
3. two passes through the loop
4. m passes through the loop $m < n$
5. $(n-1)$, n , and $(n+1)$ passes through the loop

where n is the maximum number of allowable passes

Loop Testing: Nested Loops

Nested Loops

Start at the innermost loop. Set all outer loops to their minimum iteration parameter values.

Test the min+1, typical, max-1 and max for the innermost loop, while holding the outer loops at their minimum values.


Move out one loop and set it up as in step 2, holding all other loops at typical values. Continue this step until the outermost loop has been tested.

Concatenated Loops

If the loops are independent of one another
then treat each as a simple loop
else* treat as nested loops
endif*

for example, the final loop counter value of loop 1 is used to initialize loop 2.

Black-Box Testing




Black-Box Testing

- How is functional validity tested?
- How is system behavior and performance tested?
- What classes of input will make good test cases?
- Is the system particularly sensitive to certain input values?
- How are the boundaries of a data class isolated?
- What data rates and data volume can the system tolerate?
- What effect will specific combinations of data have on system operation?


Graph-Based Methods

To understand the objects that are modeled in software and the relationships that connect these objects

In this context, we consider the term “objects” in the broadest possible context. It encompasses data objects, traditional components (modules), and object-oriented elements of computer software.




Equivalence Partitioning



Sample Equivalence Classes

Valid data




- user supplied commands
- responses to system prompts
- file names
- computational data
 - physical parameters
 - bounding values
 - initiation values
- output data formatting
- responses to error messages
- graphical data (e.g., mouse picks)

Invalid data

- data outside bounds of the program
- physically impossible data
- proper value supplied in wrong place

Boundary Value Analysis




Comparison Testing

- Used only in situations in which the reliability of software is absolutely critical (e.g., human-rated systems)
 - Separate software engineering teams develop independent versions of an application using the same specification
 - Each version can be tested with the same test data to ensure that all provide identical output
 - Then all versions are executed in parallel with real-time comparison of results to ensure consistency

Orthogonal Array Testing

- Used when the number of input parameters is small and the values that each of the parameters may take are clearly bounded



OOT—Test Case Design

Berard [BER93] proposes the following approach:

- 1. Each test case should be uniquely identified and should be explicitly associated with the class to be tested,**
- 2. The purpose of the test should be stated,**
- 3. A list of testing steps should be developed for each test and should contain [BER94]:**
 - a. a list of specified states for the object that is to be tested**
 - b. a list of messages and operations that will be exercised as a consequence of the test**
 - c. a list of exceptions that may occur as the object is tested**
 - d. a list of external conditions (i.e., changes in the environment external to the software that must exist in order to properly conduct the test)**
 - e. supplementary information that will aid in understanding or implementing the test.**

Testing Methods

■ Fault-based testing

- The tester looks for plausible faults (i.e., aspects of the implementation of the system that may result in defects). To determine whether these faults exist, test cases are designed to exercise the design or code.

■ Class Testing and the Class Hierarchy

- Inheritance does not obviate the need for thorough testing of all derived classes. In fact, it can actually complicate the testing process.

■ Scenario-Based Test Design

- Scenario-based testing concentrates on what the user does, not what the product does. This means capturing the tasks (via use-cases) that the user has to perform, then applying them and their variants as tests.

OOT Methods: Random Testing

- Random testing
 - identify operations applicable to a class
 - define constraints on their use
 - identify a minimum test sequence
 - an operation sequence that defines the minimum life history of the class (object)
 - generate a variety of random (but valid) test sequences
 - exercise other (more complex) class instance life histories

OOT Methods: Partition Testing

- Partition Testing
 - reduces the number of test cases required to test a class in much the same way as equivalence partitioning for conventional software
 - state-based partitioning
 - categorize and test operations based on their ability to change the state of a class
 - attribute-based partitioning
 - categorize and test operations based on the attributes that they use
 - category-based partitioning
 - categorize and test operations based on the generic function each performs

OOT Methods: Inter-Class Testing

- Inter-class testing
 - For each client class, use the list of class operators to generate a series of random test sequences. The operators will send messages to other server classes.
 - For each message that is generated, determine the collaborator class and the corresponding operator in the server object.
 - For each operator in the server object (that has been invoked by messages sent from the client object), determine the messages that it transmits.
 - For each of the messages, determine the next level of operators that are invoked and incorporate these into the test sequence

OOT Methods: Behavior Testing

The tests to be designed should achieve all state coverage [KIR94]. That is, the operation sequences should cause the Account class to make transition through all allowable states




Figure 14.3 State diagram for Account class (adapted from [KIR94])

Testing Patterns

Pattern name: pair testing

Abstract: A process-oriented pattern, pair testing describes a technique that is analogous to pair programming (Chapter 4) in which two testers work together to design and execute a series of tests that can be applied to unit, integration or validation testing activities.

Pattern name: separate test interface

Abstract: There is a need to test every class in an object-oriented system, including “internal classes” (i.e., classes that do not expose any interface outside of the component that used them). The separate test interface pattern describes how to create “a test interface that can be used to describe specific tests on classes that are visible only internally to a component.” [LAN01]

Pattern name: scenario testing

Abstract: Once unit and integration tests have been conducted, there is a need to determine whether the software will perform in a manner that satisfies users. The scenario testing pattern describes a technique for exercising the software from the user’s point of view. A failure at this level indicates that the software has failed to meet a user visible requirement. [KAN01]